

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/788,684 | 02/27/2004 | Richard J. Scherer | 59419US002 | 7027 | | |
| 32692 | 7590 03/27/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 | | | CHUNG TRANS | CHUNG TRANS, XUONG MY | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| • | | | 2833 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|----------------------|----------------|----|
| 10/788,684 | SCHERER ET AL. | AW |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Xuong M. Chung-Trans | 2833 | |

| before the filling of all Appear blief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Xuong M. Chung-Trans | 2833 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | | |
| | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | ч.). | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | jected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s |): . | | (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will will will will will be will will will will will will will wil | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-51. | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 3. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Briggette Hammond Primary Examine

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguement asserting that it would not be obvious to make the contact shorter is seen to be based upon the requirement to make a particular connection to a complimentary connector. However, applicant's claims are not directed to the complementary connector. With the exception of the size, the reference shows the same structure and it would be obvious to change both the size of the reference as well as the complementary connector. Applicant's arguement that there is no motivation, is not correct. In the previous rejection it is the examiner's opinion that one skilled in the art would be motivated to change the size in order to compct the header connector and to make such header connector a relatively smaller in size.

2